

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/879,323	06/12/2001	Masaki Ichihara	14699	5105	
23389	7590 06/21/2005		EXAMINER		
	SCOTT MURPHY & PRE	PHUNKULH, BOB A			
400 GARDE SUITE 300	EN CITY PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			2661		
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · ·		,	Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
			09/879,323		ICHIHARA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	-	Art Unit			
			Bob A. Phur	kulh	2661			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum so are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply v statutory period will y will, by statute, c	S(a). In no event, within the statutor Il apply and will e cause the applica	however, may a reply be time by minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 23 Ma	rch 2005.					
2a)□		2b)⊠ This a		ı-final.	•			
• <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawi						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 20 Applicant may not request that any objected the Cartesian of the oath or declaration is objected to be the cartesian of the specific at the cartesian of the specific at the cartesian of	005 is/are: a) ection to the dr g the correctio)⊠ accepte rawing(s) be on is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	documents documents of the priorit	have been in the have b	received. received in Applications s have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary	•			
3) 🔯 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/23/2005</u> .	_	5) 6)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:)-152)		

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to applicant's 03/23/2005

amendment(s)/response(s) in the application of ICHIHARA et al. for "ORTHOGONAL

FREQUENCY DIVISION MULTIPLEX MODEM CIRCUIT" filed 06/12/2001. The

amendments/response to the claims have been entered. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-11 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ring (US 6,430,148).

Regarding claim 1, Ring discloses an OFDM circuit which uses a plurality of sub carriers for communication, and transmits and receives a plurality of communication channels, wherein the plurality of sub carriers are divided into groups (a first group of OFDM sub-channels for an uplink channel) and each of the groups are assigned one group per each of the plurality of communication channels (a second group of OFDM sub-channels for a down link channel), respectively (see abstract).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 2, Ring discloses the assignment of sub carrier groups to the respective communication channels is adaptively performed (see col. 2 lines 1-7).

Regarding claim 5, Ring discloses all sub carriers are assigned to a signal channel as required, while communication of other channels is stopped (see col. 2 lines 8-23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ring in view of Yonge, III et al. (US 6,442,129), hereinafter Yonge.

Regarding claims 3, 6, Ring fails to disclose the modulation system given to each of the sub carrier groups is changed (QPSK or QAM or BPSK) according to QOS needed for a corresponding communication channel.

Yonge, on the other hand, discloses changing the modulation schemes based on the channel condition i.e. to QPSK or QAM or BPSK (see col. 1 lines 6-15; col. 1 lines 34-44; col. 2 lines 47-60; and col. 8 lines 13-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made includes the teaching of Yonge in the system taught by

Art Unit: 2661

Ring in order to reduce impulse noise which can produce bursts of error on the transmission channel.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Ring-Sakoda as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Manson et al. (US 5,488,632), hereinafter Manson.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Ring-Sakoda fails to disclose the peak values of modulation symbols are determined so that transmission power of the respective sub carriers becomes the same irrespective of the modulation systems.

Manson, on the other hand, discloses the peak values of modulation symbols are determined in OFDM system (see col. 9 lines 31-42).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made includes the teaching of Manson in the system taught by the combination of Ring-Sakoda such that the peak of each modulated carrier occurs at a frequency corresponding to nulls for all of the other modulated carrier.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ring in view of Sakoda et al. (US 6,195,534), hereinafter Sakoda.

Regarding claim 4, Ring fails to disclose means for randomizing alignment of the respective subcarriers on a frequency axis is included in a transmitting side, and means for de-randomizing a signal where the alignment is randomized is included in a receiving side.

Sakoda, on the other hand, discloses the sub carriers having the high priority data superimposed and the sub carriers having low priority data superimposed are positioned alternately is transmitted i.e. (randomizing the alignment of the respective sub carriers), and at the receiving side, a predetermined reception processing is performed on the transmission signal to obtain reception symbol stream being the alignment of symbols on the frequency axis (see col. 5 lines 45-60; col. 6 lines 6-20; and col. 6 lines 51-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made includes the teaching of Sakoda in the system taught by Ring in order to provide a transmitter in a cellular radio communication system with ability to transmits both high priority data and low priority data at the same time using a plurality of carriers.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

The following address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) only:

Mail	Stop				
Com	missi	oner	for	Patents	•

Art Unit: 2661

P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

The following address mail to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, Hand Delivery, etc.) as follow:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 220 20th Street South Customer Window, Mail Stop _____ Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3083.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tursday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. (first week of the bi-week) and Monday-Friday (for second week of the bi-week).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Chau Nguyen, can be reach on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bob A. Phunkulh

TC 2600

Art Unit 2661

June 14, 2005